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| 1. **Personal Details (not mandatory - observations can be made anonymously)**  * Name * Address * Telephone * Email |
| **2. Application details (i.e. the patent application you are providing observations on)**   * Application number * Title * Applicant * Date of priority * Date of filing |
| 1. **Facts and evidence**   **3.1 Relevant Patent literature (more than one can be listed here)**  Please provide details of any patent literature prior art (e.g. granted patents or published applications) you wish to discuss, including:   * Reference [D1, D2, D3, etc.] * Publication number (application or grant number) * Title * Inventor * Date of publication   **3.2 Non-patent literature (more than one can be listed here)**  Please attach any non-patent literature prior art (e.g. scientific papers, trade journals, brochures or internet publications) you wish to discuss, including:   * Reference [NPL1, NPL2, etc] * Title * Author * Date of publication * Link to this non patent literature site   **3.3 Prior use**  If your observation involves a prior use of the invention, please use this section to describe the exact circumstances of the prior use. Any evidence should be attached as non-patent documents.   * When was the prior use made available to the public? * What was made available? * Where was it made available? * How, and to whom, was it made available?   **3.4 Common General Knowledge**  If you have any comments on the common general knowledge on the date of filing of the application given in section 2, you should add them to your discussion. |
| **4. Novelty**  If you have any comments on the novelty of the invention given in section 2, you should enter them in your discussion.  If you consider that the claim(s) lack novelty, please identify the novelty-destroying prior art [D1, NPL1, etc.]. Please remember to identify the precise location of the disclosure in the prior art of each claimed feature. |
| **5. Inventive step**  If you have any comments on a lack of inventive step of the invention given in section 2, you should enter them in your discussion.  If you consider the claim(s) to be novel but not inventive, please identify the closest prior art. Starting with the independent claim(s), identify the precise location of the disclosure in the prior art of each claimed feature that you consider lacks inventive step. |
| **6. Obviousness**  If you have any comments on the obviousness of the claim(s) of the invention given in section 2, you should enter them your discussion. |
| **7. Unallowable amendments**  If you have any comments on any amendments of the invention given in section 2 (or if this is a divisional application), you should enter them in your discussion. |
| **8. Sufficiency of disclosure**  If you have any comments on the sufficiency of disclosure of the invention given in section 2, you should enter them in your discussion. In doing so, please identify the specific aspects which the skilled person would be unable to carry out. |
| **9. Clarity**  If you have any comments on the clarity of the invention given in section 2, you should enter them in your discussion. |